arXiv:1512.06976v4 [hep-ph] 11 Apr 2016

Vector Leptoquarks and the 750 GeV Diphoton Resonance at the LHC

Christopher W. Murphy,

Scuola Normale Superiore,
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa 56126, Italy

Abstract

The ATLAS and CMS collaborations recently presented evidence of a resonance decaying to pairs of photons around 750 GeV. In addition, the BaBar, Belle, and LHCb collaborations have evidence of lepton non-universality in the semileptonic decays of B mesons. In this work, we make a first step towards a unified explanation of these anomalies. Specifically, we extend the Standard Model by including vector leptoquarks and a scalar singlet that couples linearly to pairs of the leptoquarks. We find there is parameter space that gives the correct cross section for a putative 750 GeV resonance decaying to photons that is consistent with unitarity, measurements of the properties of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, and direct searches for resonances in other channels. In addition, we also show that constraints can be derived on any Beyond the Standard Model explanation of the 750 GeV resonance where the only new particles are scalars, which are strong enough to rule out certain types of models entirely.

1. Introduction

The ATLAS and CMS collaborations recently presented evidence using data taken at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV of a resonance, denoted X, decaying to a pair of photons [1, 2]. ATLAS reported a local (global) significance of 3.6 $\sigma \div$ 3.9 σ (2.0 $\sigma \div$ 2.3 σ) for a mass $m_X \approx 750$ GeV. The range of significance depends on the assumptions made about the width of the resonance, with 3.6 σ corresponding to the narrow width approximation, and 3.9 σ when the width is taken to be about 6% of the mass of the resonance. ATLAS recorded 8 ± 4 events above the expected background in the 750 GeV bin with 3.2 fb^{-1} of data. This translates to a cross section times branching ratio for the resonance of approximately $\sigma(pp \to X)\ \mathrm{Br}(X \to \gamma\gamma) \approx 1 \div 4$ fb. Meanwhile, CMS reported a local (global) significance of 2.6 σ (1.2 σ) for $m_X \approx 760$ GeV, consistent with ATLAS' findings. CMS assumed a narrow width for the resonance. While anomalies with local significances of 2 $\sigma \div 3$ σ are known to come and go,[1] the fact that both CMS and ATLAS see an excess around the same mass has already led to considerable theoretical attention [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69]. See [70, 71] for some studies of the prospect of resonances in the diphoton channel using Run-1 LHC data.

To make things even more exciting, for the past couple of years there have been several anomalies in the semileptonic decays of B mesons. In particular, the BaBar, Belle, and LHCb collaborations have seen evidence for a deviation from τ/ℓ universality (where $\ell = e, \mu$) in the semileptonic, charged current decays $B \to D^{(*)}$,

$$R^{\tau/\ell}_{D^{(*)}} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu})/\mathrm{Br}(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu})_{SM}}{\mathrm{Br}(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu})/\mathrm{Br}(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu})_{SM}}. \tag{1}$$

Combining the three experiments' measurements, $R^{\tau/\ell}_{D} = 1.37 \pm 0.17$, $R^{\tau/\ell}_{D^*} = 1.28 \pm 0.08$, leads to a departure from the Standard Model (SM) prediction at the 3.9 σ level [72, 73, 74]. In addition, LHCb

Email address: christopher.murphy@sns.it (Christopher W. Murphy,)

[1] For example, a preliminary CMS analysis of 7 & 8 TeV data that searched for a resonance decaying to a pair of photons showed a 2.93 σ excess around $m_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 136.5$ GeV [3]. However, this excess disappeared in the final version of the analysis [4].

has 2.6 σ evidence of a departure from μ/e universality in the neutral current $b \to s$ decay,

$$R_K^{\mu/e} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathrm{Br}(B \to Ke^+e^-)} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036, \tag{2}$$

which is predicted to be very close to one in the SM [75]. These anomalies have also attracted significant theoretical attention, see e.g. [76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92].

The primary model building challenge associated with the 750 GeV resonance is to explain why the decay of X to a pair of photons, which is a loop level process for the Higgs boson of the SM, was the first channel in which evidence of a resonance showed up. In particular, the cross section times branching ratio for $pp \to X \to \gamma\gamma$ is $O(10^4)$ times larger than that of a 750 GeV Higgs boson in the SM [93]. Since X is neutral, it must couple to pairs of charged particles in order to decay to a pair of photons. Furthermore, the most straightforward to produce a neutral scalar at a hadron collider is through gluon fusion, which will occur if the scalar is coupled to pairs of colored particles . Many of the proposed explanations for the B decay anomalies involve leptoquarks, which are charged and colored bosons. The preferred mass of the leptoquarks is $O(1$ TeV) with couplings of $O(1)$ to quarks and leptons, see e.g. [85, 86, 92].

With this background, it would seem that an electroweak (EW) scalar singlet coupled linearly to a pair of leptoquarks should be a good candidate to explain the 750 GeV resonance, while simultaneously explaining the B decay anomalies. Several authors have explored the non-minimal scenario where there are scalar leptoquarks, and additional particles in the loops, such as vector-like fermions [56, 68, 69]. Refs. [56, 68] also noted the potential for their models to explain the B decay anomalies.[2] In contrast with those works, we study a model involving a scalar singlet and vector leptoquarks.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We argue in Sec. 2 that a Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) theory where the only new particles are scalars, and whose interactions arise purely from dimension-4 operators, cannot be an explanation of the resonance X. We also show that similar logic can be used to constrain, but not in general completely rule out both extended scalar sectors with interactions arising from both dimension-3 and dimension-4 operators, and theories with new spin-1 particles. In Sec. 3, we study a model involving a scalar singlet and vector leptoquarks. Since there are already multiple works which show the viability of vector leptoquarks as explanations of $R_{D^{(*)}}^{\tau/\ell}$ and $R_K^{\mu/e}$, we simply assume the leptoquarks are viable with $M_V \sim 1$ TeV, and focus solely on explaining the diphoton excess and the constraints directly associated with it. In this initial work, we ignore the novel loop level contributions in this model to $X \to f\bar{f}$ coming from a loop containing two leptoquarks and one fermion. We find there is parameter space that gives the correct cross section for a putative 750 GeV resonance decaying to photons that is consistent with unitarity, measurements of the properties of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, and direct searches for resonances in other channels.

2. Theoretical Constraints on Explanations of the 750 GeV Resonance

Ref. [8] found that the two-Higgs doublet model (2HDM) was not a viable explanation of the 750 GeV resonance. We find that the doublet-septet model of Ref. [97] cannot explain the excess. *A priori*, the doublet-septet model was an attractive candidate as it does not contribute to the ρ parameter at tree level [98, 99], and has six charged scalars with $Q = -1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ to increase the diphoton rate. Since this failure seems to be a generic feature of models where the only BSM particles are scalars whose interactions arise purely from dimension-4 operators, it is worthwhile to have a more model-independent understanding of why this class of theories does not work. We give such an argument in what follows. Similar logic can be applied to the case where there are interactions mediated by both dimension-3 and dimension-4 operators, as well as to the case where there are spin-1 particles. We show that while models are constrained, there is in general parameter space that allows for an explanation of the diphoton excess.

First, consider the scenario where all of the BSM particles are scalars, and all of the interactions in the scalar potential are due to dimension-4 operators. In this case, all of the neutral, CP-even scalars (and crucially in some theories, some of the charged scalars) are Higgs boson-like in the sense that they all have a cubic interaction involving one Higgs boson and a pair of EW vector bosons. The couplings

[2] Leptoquarks are also viable explanations of the CMS $\ell\ell jj$ anomaly [94], see e.g. [68, 95, 96].

of Higgs bosons to fermions and vector bosons are related to each other by sum rules [71, 100, 101, 102]. The sum rules arise by requiring the scattering of longitudinally polarized vector bosons to be unitary (at tree level) to arbitrarily high energies. The mixing angles that enter into the sum rules for Higgs bosons also appear in every cubic scalar coupling that arises purely from fields related to electroweak (EW) symmetry breaking. The 2HDM is known to have this property. Refs. [103, 104] showed that the cubic coupling of h to the charged Higgs boson in the 2HDM approach a finite, non-zero value in the alignment limit, which is the direction measurements from the LHC are pushing the parameter space of the 2HDM. We have verified that the cubic coupling of the charged Higgs boson to the would-be X in the 2HDM (the heavier, CP-even Higgs boson) approaches zero. Furthermore, the couplings of the 125 GeV Higgs boson to fermions and vector bosons are Standard Model-like (SM-like) to a good extent [105, 106, 107, 108, 109]. Thus, any couplings related to those of the 125 GeV Higgs, h, must correspondingly be small, including the aforementioned cubic scalar couplings.

Now consider the case where all the BSM particles are still scalars, but the scalar potential has both dimension-3 and dimension-4 operators. A simple way to generate a dimension-3 operator is to include a scalar singlet in the theory. There are theories without scalar singlets that have dimension-3 operators in their potential as well, such as the Georgi-Machacek model [110, 111]. Cubic scalar interactions can evade the argument of the previous paragraph as they do not necessarily involve the mixing angles associated with EW symmetry breaking (e.g. β in the 2HDM). Another advantage of dimension-3 operators, being relevant operators, is that they do not run to Landau poles. Indeed for the fairly large values of couplings needed in theories where dimension-4 operators are responsible for the diphoton excess, the quartic couplings run to Landau poles, sometimes at unacceptably low scales [13, 59, 61, 62]. In models where dimension-3 operators are primarily responsible for the diphoton excess, the coefficents of the dimension-4 operators can simply be taken to be small enough such that the Landau pole scale is well above the other scales of interest in the problem.

There are two challenges in the case of dimension-3 operators. First, there is still a relation in these theories between the couplings h and X to pairs of SM particles. Both the relevant mixing angle(s) between h and X (e.g. α in the 2HDM) and the magnitude of the cubic coupling must be small enough such that the predictions for the signal strengths of the 125 GeV Higgs boson are consistent with experiment.

The second consideration is that the magnitude of the cubic coupling must be below the maximum value that is theoretically allowed by perturbative unitarity [100, 101, 112, 113]. The perturbative unitarity bounds are most restrictive for scalars in the diphoton loop, as the scalar-loop form factor is the smallest of the three (scalar, fermion, vector), $A_0 \sim 1/3$ versus $A_{1/2} \sim 4/3$ and $A_1 \sim 7$ [114, 115]. These form factors are discussed further in Sec. 3. Interactions of the form $X\phi^{Q+}\phi^{Q-}$ are bounded by $\phi^{Q+}\phi^{Q+} \to \phi^{Q+}\phi^{Q+}$ scattering.[3] A generic scattering amplitude of this type can be written as,

$$\mathcal{A} = -\lambda - m^2 \left(\frac{1}{t - M_X^2} + \frac{1}{u - M_X^2} \right), \tag{3}$$

where λ is a linear combination of quartic couplings, m is a linear combination of cubic couplings, and we have assumed that the mixing between X and h is small enough to neglect the contribution of h. $|m| \gg v \approx 246$ GeV is needed to get the correct cross section to explain the diphoton excess, so m should be mostly composed of couplings that arise from dimension-3 operators, otherwise the 2HDM would be viable. The strongest unitarity bounds on λ and m come from the $\ell = 0$ partial wave amplitude for the scattering amplitude, Eq. (3), evaluated at large s and the threshold for on-shell ϕ^{Q+} scattering respectively,

$$16\pi a_0 = \begin{cases} -\lambda & s \gg M_{X,Q} \\ \frac{2m^2}{M_X^2} \frac{M_X^2 + 2M_Q^2}{M_X^2 + 4M_Q^2} - \lambda & s \to 4M_Q^2 \end{cases}, \tag{4}$$

with M_Q being the mass of ϕ^{Q+}. The constraint $|a_0| < 1$ ($|\mathrm{Re}(a_0)| < 1/2$) at large s leads to the bound $|\lambda| < 16\pi$ (8π). Plugging in the positive upper limit on λ leads to the minimal, but model-independent bounds, $|m| < 6.2 \div 7.3$ TeV ($4.4 \div 5.1$ TeV) for $M_Q = 400 \div 1400$ GeV, again using the constraint $|a_0| < 1$ ($|\mathrm{Re}(a_0)| < 1/2$).

[3] We consider $\phi^{Q+}\phi^{Q+}$ rather than $\phi^{Q+}\phi^{Q-}$ scattering to avoid s-channel resonances (assuming ϕ^{Q+} is the scalar with the largest electric charge that couples to X), which complicates the process of extracting unitarity bounds [112].

$SU(3)_c$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	J_μ
$\bar{3}$	1	$-2/3$	$\ell_L\gamma_\mu q_L$, $\bar{e}_R\gamma_\mu d_R$
$\bar{3}$	3	$-2/3$	$\bar{\ell}_L\gamma_\mu q_L$
$\bar{3}$	2	5/6	$\bar{\ell}^c_L\gamma_\mu d_R$, $\bar{e}^c_R\gamma_\mu q_L$
$\bar{3}$	1	$-5/3$	$\bar{e}_R\gamma_\mu u_R$
$\bar{3}$	2	$-1/6$	$\bar{\ell}^c_L\gamma_\mu u_R$

Table 1: SM charge assignment for the various types of vector leptoquarks, V^μ, and the SM currents of fermions they couple to. Adapted from Ref. [85].

We now apply these bounds to some of the models that have recently been considered in the literature. However, due to large amount of literature on this subject, it is likely that we are not considering every relevant model. Ref. [54] considers the singlet extension of the Manohar-Wise model [116]. Its benchmark point with $m_{S_i} = 1$ TeV is in trouble with unitarity as $m = k_2 f = 6.36$ TeV. This is ruled out by $|\text{Re}(a_0)| < 1/2$, but allowed by $|a_0| < 1$. On the other hand, its benchmark point with $m_{S_i} = 600$ GeV is consistent with this unitarity analysis. Ref. [63] also looked at extended scalar sectors. They showed that with enough charged scalars the correct production rate for X can be obtained. However, they do not indicate if this can be done without spoiling the agreement between theory and experiment for the coupling of the 125 GeV Higgs boson to photons. Ref. [67] does a parameter scan to find viable parameter space in the Georgi-Machacek model. In this case, the parameter of interest is $m = g_{HH^0_5H^0_5} \sim 2\sqrt{3}M_2$ for $M_2 \gg v$, $|\alpha| \approx 0$. The unitarity bound roughly leads to $|M_2| < 1.9\,(1.3)$ TeV for $m_{H_5} = 400 \div 600$ GeV using $|a_0| < 1\,(|\text{Re}(a_0)| < 1/2)$. M_2 is scanned over the range $1 \div 10^4$ GeV in Ref. [67].

Finally, consider the case where the scalar resonance, X, couples linearly to pairs of charged and/or colored, spin-1 particles. At high-energies, when these vector particles are longitudinally polarized, $|\epsilon_\mu| \sim \sqrt{s}/M_V$, the amplitude for elastic vector-vector scattering mediated by the exchange of X grows with energy. At sufficiently high energies, unitarity will break down unless there is a cancellation amongst the various parameters that enter into the scattering amplitude, which we will assume does not happen. For a cubic coupling $2\kappa M_V^2/v$, the amplitude schematically has the form,

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{M_V}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\kappa M_V^2}{v}\right)^2 \frac{1}{s}, \quad a_0 \sim \frac{\kappa^2}{16\pi}\frac{s}{v^2}, \quad \text{for } s \gg M^2_{X,V}, \tag{5}$$

plus terms with less powers of energy in the numerator. Provided there is no cancellation among parameters, the theory break down around energies $\sqrt{s} \sim 4\sqrt{\pi}v/|\kappa|$.

3. Model of a Scalar Singlet and Vector Leptoquarks

Consider supplementing the SM with a scalar singlet, ϕ, and a vector leptoquark, V_μ. All of the SM charge assignments for the various vector leptoquark bosons, and the current(s) of SM fermions that they couple to, are given in Tab. 1. The interactions of interest are,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \kappa\frac{2M_V^2}{v}\phi V_\mu^\dagger V^\mu + g\frac{2M_V^2}{v^2}\left(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2}\right)V_\mu^\dagger V^\mu, \tag{6}$$

where H is the Higgs doublet ($H^T = (0, (v+h_2)/\sqrt{2})$ in unitary gauge), M_V is the mass of the leptoquark, and κ, and g are free parameters. We chose the parameterization of Eq. (6) for later convenience. Since there are already multiple works which show the viability of vector leptoquarks as explanations of $R^{\tau/\ell}_{D^{(*)}}$ and $R^{\mu/e}_K$, see for example Refs. [85, 86, 92], we simply assume the leptoquarks are viable with $M_V \sim 1$ TeV, and focus solely on explaining the diphoton excess and the constraints directly associated with it.

The scalar singlet mixes with the neutral, CP-even component of the Higgs doublet,

$$\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\ h_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha\\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}X\\ h\end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

This mixing leads to a universal form for the decay rates of X into SM particles that couple of X at tree level,

$$\frac{\Gamma(X \to f\bar{f})}{\Gamma(X \to f\bar{f})_{SM}} = \frac{\Gamma(X \to VV)}{\Gamma(X \to VV)_{SM}} = \sin^2\alpha, \tag{8}$$

where $\Gamma(X \to Y)_{SM}$ is the branching ratio for a SM Higgs boson with $M_X = 750$ GeV, $VV = W^+W^-, ZZ$, and $f = t, b, \tau$. The mixing in Eq. (7) cannot be too large, as it is constrained by measurements of h [108, 109]. Requiring all of the universal-type signal strengths for h to be within 15% of their SM values yields the bound $\alpha < 0.4$. We neglect the possibility of the tree level decay $X \to hh$, as the parameter that controls the rate of this decay can be made sufficiently small without affecting the interesting features of the model. The decay rates of X into SM particles that do not directly couple to X are more complicated,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(X \to gg)}{\Gamma(X \to gg)_{SM}} &= \left|\sin\alpha + (\kappa\cos\alpha + g\sin\alpha)\frac{A_1(\tau_V)}{A_{1/2}(\tau_t) + A_{1/2}(\tau_b)}\right|^2, \\
\frac{\Gamma(X \to \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(X \to \gamma\gamma)_{SM}} &= \left|\sin\alpha + (\kappa\cos\alpha + g\sin\alpha)\frac{N_{CV}Q_V^2 A_1(\tau_V)}{\sum_f N_{Cf}Q_f^2 A_{1/2}(\tau_f) + A_1(\tau_W)}\right|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with $\tau_a = M_X^2/4M_a^2$. There is a similar formula for $X \to Z\gamma$ as well. The formulas for $h \to \{gg, \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma, ZZ, WW\}$ have a similar structure to Eqs. (9) except the angular dependences of the SM and leptoquark contributions are $\cos\alpha$ and $-\kappa\sin\alpha + g\cos\alpha$ respectively. In addition, M_h enters the form factors instead of M_X. From this, we see that measurements of the Higgs' couplings constrain both α and g to be small. This illustrated explicitly in Figure 1 below. In addition, small g is favored by constraints from running the quartic couplings of the theory.

In this initial work, we ignore the novel loop level contributions in this model to $X \to f\bar{f}$ coming from a loop containing two leptoquarks and one fermion, e.g. $X \to b\bar{b}$ receives a contribution at the one-loop level from a loop consisting of two leptoquarks and a tau. The form factors entering the one-loop amplitudes are [114, 115],

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0(\tau) &= -[\tau - f(\tau)]\tau^{-2}, \\
A_{1/2}(\tau) &= 2[\tau + (\tau-1)f(\tau)]\tau^{-2}, \\
A_1(\tau) &= -[2\tau^2 + 3\tau + 3(2\tau-1)f(\tau)]\tau^{-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

A_0 is listed even though it does not appear in Eq. (9) to help facilitate the discussion of Sec. 2. The function $f(\tau)$ is,

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \arcsin^2\sqrt{\tau} & \text{if } \tau \le 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4}\left(\ln\frac{1+\sqrt{1-1/\tau}}{1-\sqrt{1-1/\tau}} - i\pi\right)^2 & \text{if } \tau > 1 \end{cases}. \tag{11}$$

The cross section times branching ratio for $pp \to X \to \gamma\gamma$ is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(pp \to X) &= \sigma(ggF \to X)_{SM}\frac{\Gamma(X \to gg)}{\Gamma(X \to gg)_{SM}} + \sigma(VBF \to X)_{SM}\frac{\Gamma(X \to VV)}{\Gamma(X \to VV)_{SM}}, \\
\mathrm{Br}(X \to \gamma\gamma) &= \mathrm{Br}(X \to \gamma\gamma)_{SM}\frac{\Gamma(X \to \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(X \to \gamma\gamma)_{SM}}\left(\sum_Y \mathrm{Br}(X \to Y)_{SM}\frac{\Gamma(X \to Y)}{\Gamma(X \to Y)_{SM}}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where ggF and VBF are the gluon and vector boson fusion production processes respectively, and $Y = t\bar{t}, b\bar{b}, \tau\bar{\tau}, W^+W^-, ZZ, gg, \gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$. All SM Higgs boson cross sections and branching ratios are taken from [93]. In this initial work, we neglect the possibility of producing the resonance through photon fusion. Including photon fusion would decrease the value of κ needed to explain the excess, allowing more parameter space to satisfy the bounds from unitarity.

Fig. 1 presents $\sigma(pp \to X)\ \mathrm{Br}(X \to \gamma\gamma)$ in fb as contours in the $M_V - \alpha$ plane. The left panel corresponds to a leptoquark with quantum numbers $(\bar{3}, 3)_{-2/3}$ and $\kappa = 0.10$ (and $g = 0$), whereas the leptoquark of the right panel has the quantum numbers $(\bar{3}, 1)_{-2/3}$ and $\kappa = 0.27$ (and again with $g = 0$).



(a) $(\bar{3},3)_{-2/3}$, $\kappa = 0.10$ (b) $(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$, $\kappa = 0.27$

Figure 1: The cross section times branching ratio for $pp \to X \to \gamma\gamma$ in fb for two leptoquarks models as contours in the $M_V - \alpha$ plane. The orange and blue parameter space is ruled out by requiring the Higgs couplings to photons and gluons to be within 10% of their SM values respectively.

Of the five types of vector leptoquarks in Tab. 1, these cases lead to the largest and smallest diphoton rate for a given value of κ respectively. The orange and blue parameter space is ruled out by requiring the Higgs couplings to photons and gluons to be within 10% of their SM values respectively. Fig. 2 shows cross section times branching ratio for $pp \to X \to Y$ in fb for two leptoquarks models with $M_V = 1000$ GeV. The blue, orange, green, red, and purple curves correspond to $Y = \gamma\gamma$, gg, $t\bar{t}$, W^+W^-, and ZZ respectively. The shaded region corresponds to a cross section with the correct order of magnitude to explain the diphoton excess. For the model with quantum numbers $(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$, $\alpha > 0.012$ is ruled out by searches for resonances in the W^+W^- and ZZ channels [117, 118, 119, 120]. We find that these are the two most constraining direct searches for this model, rather than heavy quarks, taus, dijets, or $Z\gamma$. See e.g. [10, 13] for more discussion of these bounds.

Figs. 1 and 2 demonstrate that there is parameter space that gives the correct cross section for a putative 750 GeV resonance decaying to photons that is consistent with unitarity, measurements of the properties of the 125 GeV Higgs boson, and direct searches for resonances in other channels.

Acknowledgments

We thank Riccardo Barbieri, Benjamín Grinstein, Jorge Martin Camalich, Alfredo Urbano, and Patipan Uttayarat for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by the MIUR-FIRB under grant no. RBFR12H1MW.

References

References

[1] G. Aad, et al., Search for resonances decaying to photon pairs in 3.2 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV with the ATLAS detector.
URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114853

[2] V. Khachatryan, et al., Search for new physics in high mass diphoton events in proton-proton collisions at 13TeV.
URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/2114808



(a) $(\bar{3},3)_{-2/3}$, $\kappa = 0.10$ (b) $(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$, $\kappa = 0.27$

Figure 2: The cross section times branching ratio for $pp \to X \to Y$ in fb for two leptoquarks models with $M_V = 1000$ GeV. The blue, orange, green, red, and purple curves correspond to $Y = \gamma\gamma$, gg, $t\bar{t}$, W^+W^-, and ZZ respectively. The shaded region corresponds to a cross section with the correct order of magnitude to explain the diphoton excess. For the model with quantum numbers $(\bar{3},1)_{-2/3}$, $\alpha > 0.012$ is ruled out by searches for resonances in the W^+W^- and ZZ channels.

[3] V. Khachatryan, et al., Properties of the observed Higgs-like resonance using the diphoton channel. URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/1558930

[4] V. Khachatryan, et al., Observation of the diphoton decay of the Higgs boson and measurement of its properties, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (10) (2014) 3076. arXiv:1407.0558, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-3076-z.

[5] K. Harigaya, Y. Nomura, Composite Models for the 750 GeV Diphoton ExcessarXiv:1512.04850.

[6] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi, A. Djouadi, The LHC diphoton resonance and dark matterarXiv:1512.04913.

[7] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti, D. Redigolo, Di-photon excess illuminates Dark MatterarXiv:1512.04917.

[8] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi, G. Moreau, Scenarii for interpretations of the LHC diphoton excess: two Higgs doublets and vector-like quarks and leptonsarXiv:1512.04921.

[9] Y. Nakai, R. Sato, K. Tobioka, Footprints of New Strong Dynamics via AnomalyarXiv:1512.04924.

[10] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci, K. Zurek, Rays of light from the LHCarXiv:1512.04928.

[11] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo, D. Marzocca, Knocking on New Physics' door with a Scalar ResonancearXiv:1512.04929.

[12] A. Pilaftsis, Diphoton Signatures from Heavy Axion Decays at LHCarXiv:1512.04931.

[13] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, F. Riva, A. Strumia, R. Torre, What is the gamma gamma resonance at 750 GeV?arXiv:1512.04933.

[14] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola, M. Raidal, First interpretation of the 750 GeV di-photon resonance at the LHCarXiv:1512.04939.

[15] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima, F. Takahashi, The QCD Axion from Aligned Axions and Diphoton ExcessarXiv:1512.05295.

[16] S. D. McDermott, P. Meade, H. Ramani, Singlet Scalar Resonances and the Diphoton ExcessarXiv:1512.05326.

[17] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz, T. You, On the Interpretation of a Possible $\sim$ 750 GeV Particle Decaying into $\gamma\gamma$arXiv:1512.05327.

[18] M. Low, A. Tesi, L.-T. Wang, A pseudoscalar decaying to photon pairs in the early LHC run 2 dataarXiv:1512.05328.

[19] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala, J. Serra, Goldstones in DiphotonsarXiv:1512.05330.

[20] R. S. Gupta, S. Jger, Y. Kats, G. Perez, E. Stamou, Interpreting a 750 GeV Diphoton ResonancearXiv:1512.05332.

[21] C. Petersson, R. Torre, The 750 GeV diphoton excess from the goldstino superpartnerarXiv:1512.05333.

[22] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino, N. Vignaroli, Strong dynamics or axion origin of the diphoton excessarXiv:1512.05334.

[23] B. Dutta, Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, I. Gogoladze, T. Li, Interpretation of the diphoton excess at CMS and ATLASarXiv:1512.05439.

[24] Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan, D.-M. Zhang, A Boost Test of Anomalous Diphoton Resonance at the LHCarXiv:1512.05542.

[25] S. Matsuzaki, K. Yamawaki, 750 GeV Diphoton Signal from One-Family Walking TechnipionarXiv:1512.05564.

[26] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, M. Zhang, LHC diphoton excess explained as a heavy scalar in top-seesaw modelarXiv:1512.05585.

[27] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa, C. F. Sierra, Diphoton decay for a 750 GeV scalar dark matterarXiv:1512.05617.

[28] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray, A. Spray, Diphoton Excess at 750 GeV from a Radion in the Bulk-Higgs ScenarioarXiv:1512.05618.

[29] D. Becirevic, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari, R. Z. Funchal, Can the new resonance at LHC be a CP-Odd Higgs boson?arXiv:1512.05623.

[30] J. M. No, V. Sanz, J. Setford, See-Saw Composite Higgses at the LHC: Linking Naturalness to the 750 GeV Di-Photon ResonancearXiv:1512.05700.

[31] S. V. Demidov, D. S. Gorbunov, On sgoldstino interpretation of the diphoton excessarXiv:1512.05723.

[32] W. Chao, R. Huo, J.-H. Yu, The Minimal Scalar-Stealth Top Interpretation of the Diphoton ExcessarXiv:1512.05738.

[33] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, C. Royon, Scattering Light by Light at 750 GeV at the LHCarXiv:1512.05751.

[34] D. Curtin, C. B. Verhaaren, Quirky Explanations for the Diphoton ExcessarXiv:1512.05753.

[35] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu, J. Shu, A hidden confining world on the 750 GeV diphoton excessarXiv:1512.05759.

[36] J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal, T. Srivastava, Di-photon resonance around 750 GeV: shedding light on the theory underneatharXiv:1512.05767.

[37] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang, Higgs-radion interpretation of 750 GeV di-photon excess at the LHCarXiv:1512.05771.

[38] P. Agrawal, J. Fan, B. Heidenreich, M. Reece, M. Strassler, Experimental Considerations Motivated by the Diphoton Excess at the LHCarXiv:1512.05775.

[39] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, J. Terning, The Minimal Model of a Diphoton Resonance: Production without Gluon Couplings arXiv:1512.05776.

[40] A. Falkowski, O. Slone, T. Volansky, Phenomenology of a 750 GeV Singlet arXiv:1512.05777.

[41] D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati, Y. Nir, On a possible large width 750 GeV diphoton resonance at ATLAS and CMS arXiv:1512.05778.

[42] Y. Bai, J. Berger, R. Lu, A 750 GeV Dark Pion: Cousin of a Dark G-parity-odd WIMP arXiv:1512.05779.

[43] E. Gabrielli, K. Kannike, B. Mele, M. Raidal, C. Spethmann, H. Veerme, A SUSY Inspired Simplified Model for the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess arXiv:1512.05961.

[44] R. Benbrik, C.-H. Chen, T. Nomura, Higgs singlet as a diphoton resonance in a vector-like quark model arXiv:1512.06028.

[45] J. S. Kim, J. Reuter, K. Rolbiecki, R. R. de Austri, A resonance without resonance: scrutinizing the diphoton excess at 750 GeV arXiv:1512.06083.

[46] A. Alves, A. G. Dias, K. Sinha, The 750 GeV S-cion: Where else should we look for it? arXiv:1512.06091.

[47] E. Megias, O. Pujolas, M. Quiros, On dilatons and the LHC diphoton excess arXiv:1512.06106.

[48] L. M. Carpenter, R. Colburn, J. Goodman, Supersoft SUSY Models and the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess, Beyond Effective Operators arXiv:1512.06107.

[49] J. Bernon, C. Smith, Could the width of the diphoton anomaly signal a three-body decay ? arXiv:1512.06113.

[50] W. Chao, Symmetries Behind the 750 GeV Diphoton Excess arXiv:1512.06297.

[51] M. T. Arun, P. Saha, Gravitons in multiply warped scenarios - at 750 GeV and beyond arXiv:1512.06335.

[52] R. Ding, L. Huang, T. Li, B. Zhu, Interpreting 750 GeV Diphoton Excess with R-parity Violation Supersymmetry arXiv:1512.06560.

[53] X.-F. Han, L. Wang, Implication of the 750 GeV diphoton resonance on two-Higgs-doublet model and its extensions with Higgs field arXiv:1512.06587.

[54] J. Cao, C. Han, L. Shang, W. Su, J. M. Yang, Y. Zhang, Interpreting the 750 GeV diphoton excess by the singlet extension of the Manohar-Wise Model arXiv:1512.06728.

[55] J. J. Heckman, 750 GeV Diphotons from a D3-brane arXiv:1512.06773.

[56] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, Flavor Anomalies, the Diphoton Excess and a Dark Matter Candidate arXiv:1512.06828.

[57] D. Barducci, A. Goudelis, S. Kulkarni, D. Sengupta, One jet to rule them all: monojet constraints and invisible decays of a 750 GeV diphoton resonance arXiv:1512.06842.

[58] W.-C. Huang, Y.-L. S. Tsai, T.-C. Yuan, Gauged Two Higgs Doublet Model confronts the LHC 750 GeV di-photon anomaly arXiv:1512.07268.

[59] J. Gu, Z. Liu, Running after Diphoton arXiv:1512.07624.

[60] H. Davoudiasl, C. Zhang, A 750 GeV Messenger of Dark Conformal Symmetry Breaking arXiv:1512.07672.

[61] M. Son, A. Urbano, A new scalar resonance at 750 GeV: Towards a proof of concept in favor of strongly interacting theories arXiv:1512.08307.

[62] F. Goertz, J. F. Kamenik, A. Katz, M. Nardecchia, Indirect Constraints on the Scalar Di-Photon Resonance at the LHCarXiv:1512.08500.

[63] S. Kanemura, N. Machida, S. Odori, T. Shindou, Diphoton excess at 750 GeV in an extended scalar sectorarXiv:1512.09053.

[64] A. E. C. Hernndez, The 750 GeV diphoton resonance can cause the SM fermion mass and mixing patternarXiv:1512.09092.

[65] U. Danielsson, R. Enberg, G. Ingelman, T. Mandal, The force awakens - the 750 GeV diphoton excess at the LHC from a varying electromagnetic couplingarXiv:1601.00624.

[66] T. Modak, S. Sadhukhan, R. Srivastava, 750 GeV Diphoton excess from Gauged $B - L$ SymmetryarXiv:1601.00836.

[67] M. Fabbrichesi, A. Urbano, The breaking of the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry: The 750 GeV resonance at the LHC and perturbative unitarityarXiv:1601.02447.

[68] C. Hati, Explaining the diphoton excess in Alternative Left-Right Symmetric ModelarXiv:1601.02457.

[69] I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik, Is symmetry breaking of SU(5) theory responsible for the diphoton excess?arXiv:1601.03267.

[70] J. Jaeckel, M. Jankowiak, M. Spannowsky, LHC probes the hidden sector, Phys. Dark Univ. 2 (2013) 111–117. arXiv:1212.3620, doi:10.1016/j.dark.2013.06.001.

[71] B. Grinstein, C. W. Murphy, D. Pirtskhalava, P. Uttayarat, Theoretical Constraints on Additional Higgs Bosons in Light of the 126 GeV Higgs, JHEP 05 (2014) 083. arXiv:1401.0070, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)083.

[72] J. P. Lees, et al., Measurement of an Excess of $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Decays and Implications for Charged Higgs Bosons, Phys. Rev. D88 (7) (2013) 072012. arXiv:1303.0571, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.072012.

[73] M. Huschle, et al., Measurement of the branching ratio of $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau$ relative to $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ decays with hadronic tagging at Belle, Phys. Rev. D92 (7) (2015) 072014. arXiv:1507.03233, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.072014.

[74] R. Aaij, et al., Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\tau^-\bar{\nu}_\tau)/\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (11) (2015) 111803, [Addendum: Phys. Rev. Lett.115,no.15,159901(2015)]. arXiv:1506.08614, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.159901, 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.111803.

[75] R. Aaij, et al., Test of lepton universality using $B^+ \to K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 151601. arXiv:1406.6482, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.151601.

[76] A. Datta, M. Duraisamy, D. Ghosh, Explaining the $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ data with scalar interactions, Phys. Rev. D89 (7) (2014) 071501. arXiv:1310.1937, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.071501.

[77] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein, J. Martin Camalich, $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge invariance and the shape of new physics in rare B decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 241802. arXiv:1407.7044, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.241802.

[78] G. Hiller, M. Schmaltz, R_K and future $b \to s\ell\ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 054014. arXiv:1408.1627, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.054014.

[79] W. Altmannshofer, D. M. Straub, New physics in $b \to s$ transitions after LHC run 1, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (8) (2015) 382. arXiv:1411.3161, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3602-7.

[80] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, S. A. Renner, Composite leptoquarks and anomalies in B-meson decays, JHEP 05 (2015) 006. arXiv:1412.1791, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2015)006.

[81] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London, S. Shivashankara, Simultaneous Explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ Puzzles, Phys. Lett. B742 (2015) 370–374. arXiv:1412.7164, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.02.011.

[82] S. Sahoo, R. Mohanta, Scalar leptoquarks and the rare B meson decays, Phys. Rev. D91 (9) (2015) 094019. arXiv:1501.05193, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094019.

[83] D. Aristizabal Sierra, F. Staub, A. Vicente, Shedding light on the $b \to s$ anomalies with a dark sector, Phys. Rev. D92 (1) (2015) 015001. arXiv:1503.06077, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.015001.

[84] D. Be?irevi?, S. Fajfer, N. Konik, Lepton flavor nonuniversality in b?s?$^+$?$^-$ processes, Phys. Rev. D92 (1) (2015) 014016. arXiv:1503.09024, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.014016.

[85] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein, J. M. Camalich, Lepton universality violation and lepton flavor conservation in B-meson decays, JHEP 10 (2015) 184. arXiv:1505.05164, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)184.

[86] A. Greljo, G. Isidori, D. Marzocca, On the breaking of Lepton Flavor Universality in B decays, JHEP 07 (2015) 142. arXiv:1506.01705, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2015)142.

[87] L. Calibbi, A. Crivellin, T. Ota, Effective Field Theory Approach to b?s??(?), B?K(*)??$\overline{?}$ and B?D(*)?? with Third Generation Couplings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 181801. arXiv:1506.02661, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.181801.

[88] M. Freytsis, Z. Ligeti, J. T. Ruderman, Flavor models for $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu}$, Phys. Rev. D92 (5) (2015) 054018. arXiv:1506.08896, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.054018.

[89] S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, J. Matias, J. Virto, Global analysis of $b \to s\ell\ell$ anomaliesarXiv:1510.04239.

[90] M. Bauer, M. Neubert, One Leptoquark to Rule Them All: A Minimal Explanation for $R_{D^{(*)}}$, R_K and $(g-2)_\mu$arXiv:1511.01900.

[91] S. Fajfer, N. Konik, Vector leptoquark resolution of R_K and $R_{D^{(*)}}$ puzzlesarXiv:1511.06024.

[92] R. Barbieri, G. Isidori, A. Pattori, F. Senia, Anomalies in B-decays and $U(2)$ flavour symmetryarXiv:1512.01560.

[93] J. R. Andersen, et al., Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs PropertiesarXiv:1307.1347, doi:10.5170/CERN-2013-004.

[94] V. Khachatryan, et al., Search for Pair Production of First and Second Generation Leptoquarks in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeVarXiv:1509.03744.

[95] F. S. Queiroz, K. Sinha, A. Strumia, Leptoquarks, Dark Matter, and Anomalous LHC Events, Phys. Rev. D91 (3) (2015) 035006. arXiv:1409.6301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.035006.

[96] B. Allanach, A. Alves, F. S. Queiroz, K. Sinha, A. Strumia, Interpreting the CMS $\ell^+\ell^- jj\not{E}_{\rm T}$ Excess with a Leptoquark Model, Phys. Rev. D92 (5) (2015) 055023. arXiv:1501.03494, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.055023.

[97] J. Hisano, K. Tsumura, Higgs boson mixes with an SU(2) septet representation, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 053004. arXiv:1301.6455, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.053004.

[98] M. J. G. Veltman, Limit on Mass Differences in the Weinberg Model, Nucl. Phys. B123 (1977) 89. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(77)90342-X.

[99] K. A. Olive, et al., Review of Particle Physics, Chin. Phys. C38 (2014) 090001. doi:10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001.

[100] B. W. Lee, C. Quigg, H. B. Thacker, The Strength of Weak Interactions at Very High-Energies and the Higgs Boson Mass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 883–885. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.38.883.

[101] B. W. Lee, C. Quigg, H. B. Thacker, Weak Interactions at Very High-Energies: The Role of the Higgs Boson Mass, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1519. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1519.

[102] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, J. Wudka, Sum rules for Higgs bosons, Phys. Rev. D43 (1991) 904–912. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.43.904.

[103] J. Bernon, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Y. Jiang, S. Kraml, Scrutinizing the alignment limit in two-Higgs-doublet models: $m_h = 125$??GeV, Phys. Rev. D92 (7) (2015) 075004. arXiv:1507.00933, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.075004.

[104] J. Bernon, J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Y. Jiang, S. Kraml, Scrutinizing the Alignment Limit in Two-Higgs-Doublet Models. Part 2: $m_H = 125$ GeVarXiv:1511.03682.

[105] G. Aad, et al., Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B716 (2012) 1–29. arXiv:1207.7214, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.020.

[106] S. Chatrchyan, et al., Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B716 (2012) 30–61. arXiv:1207.7235, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.08.021.

[107] G. Aad, et al., Combined Measurement of the Higgs Boson Mass in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS Experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 191803. arXiv:1503.07589, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.191803.

[108] V. Khachatryan, et al., Precise determination of the mass of the Higgs boson and tests of compatibility of its couplings with the standard model predictions using proton collisions at 7 and 8 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C75 (5) (2015) 212. arXiv:1412.8662, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3351-7.

[109] G. Aad, et al., Measurements of the Higgs boson production and decay rates and coupling strengths using pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in the ATLAS experimentarXiv:1507.04548.

[110] H. Georgi, M. Machacek, DOUBLY CHARGED HIGGS BOSONS, Nucl. Phys. B262 (1985) 463. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90325-6.

[111] H. E. Logan, V. Rentala, All the generalized Georgi-Machacek models, Phys. Rev. D92 (7) (2015) 075011. arXiv:1502.01275, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.075011.

[112] S. Dawson, S. Willenbrock, Unitarity Constraints on Heavy Higgs Bosons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 1232. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1232.

[113] B. Grinstein, C. W. Murphy, P. Uttayarat, One-Loop Corrections to the Perturbative Unitarity Bounds in the CP-Conserving Two-Higgs Doublet Model with a Softly Broken $\mathbb{Z}_2$ SymmetryarXiv:1512.04567.

[114] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane, S. Dawson, The Higgs Hunter's Guide, Front. Phys. 80 (2000) 1–448.

[115] A. Djouadi, The Anatomy of electro-weak symmetry breaking. II. The Higgs bosons in the minimal supersymmetric model, Phys. Rept. 459 (2008) 1–241. arXiv:hep-ph/0503173, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2007.10.005.

[116] A. V. Manohar, M. B. Wise, Flavor changing neutral currents, an extended scalar sector, and the Higgs production rate at the CERN LHC, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 035009. arXiv:hep-ph/0606172, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.035009.

[117] V. Khachatryan, et al., Search for a heavy Higgs boson in the H to ZZ to 2l2nu channel in pp collisions at sqrt(s)= 7 and 8 TeV.
URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/1546776

[118] V. Khachatryan, et al., Search for a Standard Model-like Higgs Boson Decaying into $WW \to l\nu q\bar{q}'$ in Exclusive Jet Bins in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.
URL http://cds.cern.ch/record/2001192

[119] G. Aad, et al., Search for an additional, heavy Higgs boson in the $H \to ZZ$ decay channel at $\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV in pp collision data with the ATLAS detectorarXiv:1507.05930.

[120] G. Aad, et al., Search for a high-mass Higgs boson decaying to a W boson pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detectorarXiv:1509.00389.